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## Delivery systems: geographical range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery Systems</th>
<th>B2 Bomber</th>
<th>B52 Bomber</th>
<th>Minuteman ICBM</th>
<th>Trident SLBM</th>
<th>MQ-1 Predator</th>
<th>MQ-9 Predator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range (km)</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>18,500</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>1,850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Munitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Munitions</th>
<th>CEP: circular error probable</th>
<th>120-200 m</th>
<th>‘5 m or less’ (Hellfire II AGM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blast Radius</td>
<td>3.5 km (‘Little Boy’)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15-20 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Effects

- Large-scale loss of life
- Long-term environmental toxicity
- Contained in time and space (‘warheads on foreheads’)
“I would have preferred targeted killings to Hiroshima,” [Harold Koh, Legal Adviser to the State Department] would often say when debating his friends in the human rights community.’

Daniel Klaidman, *Kill or capture: the War on Terror and the soul of the Obama Presidency*
‘We are in the same position now, with drones, that we were with nuclear weapons in 1945. For the moment we are the ones with this technology that is going to change the morality, psychology and strategic thinking of warfare for years to come. But it’s inevitable that other countries – including countries that are hardly American allies – will follow. Then what?’

David Remnick, editor of The New Yorker
It is against this background that the counter-intuitive argument is put forward seriously to the effect that drone warfare is, and is likely to become, more destructive of international law and world order than is nuclear warfare. Such a contention is not meant to suggest that reliance on nuclear weapons would somehow be better for the human future than the acceptance of the logic of drone use. It is only to say that so far, at any rate, international law and world order have been able to figure out some regimes of constraint for nuclear weapons that have kept the peace, but have not been able to do so for drones, and will be unlikely to do so as long as the logic of dirty wars is allowed to control the shaping of national security policy in the United States.
ican

international campaign to abolish nuclear weapons
PROTEST DRONE ATTACKS
noam chomsky and andre vltchek on western terrorism from hiroshima to drone warfare

President Strangelove Or: How I Learned to Stop Worrying and Love Secret Drone Attacks
I: Distance, dispersal and the global battle space
‘[T]he development of nuclear weapons has, to a considerable degree, helped **blur the notion of the battlefield**…. This shift was evident at Hiroshima and Nagasaki, where **the attacks concretely and metaphorically annihilated the battlefield** by sending the message that no place was safe from war, and that **the new weapons could, in one great big flash, abolish any distinction between combatants and non-combatants.** Nuclear weapons are in that respect not just quantitatively but qualitatively different from all other weapons because their use is, by definition, premised on **the total breakdown of the battlefield.**’

*Frédéric Megret, ‘War and the vanishing battlefield’*
‘a war with no clear boundaries, no clear battlefields … a war waged in such secrecy that both records and physical locations are often utterly obscured…’
The World as Free-Fire Zone

How drones made it easy for Americans to kill a particular person anywhere on the planet.

By Fred Kaplan on June 7, 2013
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SM-65 Atlas missile sites

LGM-30 Minuteman missile sites
2: Atomic safety: securing American lives
‘Little Boy’ and Hiroshima
6 August 1945
The 155 degree turn

Enola Gay co-pilot [Robert Lewis]'s sketch after briefing by weaponeer William Parsons, 4 August 1945
THE ATOM BOMB
AND FUTURE WAR

There may be devastating "push-button" battles

by HANSON W. BALDWIN

To military men the atom bomb threatened a complete revolution in the methods of making war. It could become an offensive weapon so effective that ground, sea and air forces might be relegated to secondary roles. Here a sober military analyst, Hanson W. Baldwin, tells what the coming wars could be like and how the U.S. must prepare for them.

In a fraction of a second on Aug. 6, 1945 American scientists not only destroyed Hiroshima, Japan, but with it many human concepts—chief among them our ideas of how to wage war. We are opening today a fresh page in military history and our first scribblings on it will be cramped and difficult, for what is to come far transcends all man's experience of what has gone before.

It would be completely impossible and futile, therefore, to try at this time to digest the meaning of man's harnessing of atomic energy to war or to predict its consequences. It is far too early and our knowledge is yet too small. Only tentative conclusions and incomplete speculations can serve as some yardstick to what must become a new brand of military thinking—thinking geared fundamentally to the laboratory and the factory rather than to terrain contours and grid maps.

Major General J. F. C. Fuller, the British military historian, has written of the First World War, "God now marched with the biggest industries rather than with the biggest battalions." This remark is even more fundamentally true of the Second World War than of the First. Our victories have been based on material superiority, upon mass production. Tomorrow the big factories, but with the big laboratories beside them, will dominate war and will make it—in its most virulent form, at least—the business of the big and wealthy nations, not of the small and the weak. The two A1 priorities in our postwar national defense program must be: superiority in research and development (something we have not always enjoyed by any means in this war, despite our "first" with the atomic bomb) and continued superiority in mass production—in other words a coupling of quality with quantity—never an easy mating.

Where does any such "push-button" war as this leave the military man? Is there need in this fantastic world of tomorrow for piloted planes, ground armies, surface navies?

General of the Army H. H. Arnold has predicted that this is the last war of the pilots. The inference is obvious. Robot planes, rockets, television and radar bombing and atomic bombs will do the work today done by fleets of thousands of piloted bombers.

This may well be. Indeed it seems likely that the bulk of area bombing may be done in the future by robots controlled or directed from the ground. But this will not replace the piloted plane for specialized tasks—pin-point visual bombing of rocket-launching sites, photo and visual reconnaissances, airborne operations. The pilot will still have a place in the world of tomorrow for the very simple reason that man has not yet invented a machine endowed with all the brains of man.

Partly for the same reason, partly because of the inherent limitations of bombardment in any form—even in this terrific combination of rocket and atomic warfare—there will be, as far as we can see now, use for ground armies. This use will probably be more limited and specialized than exists today. The plane, the robot, the rocket, long-range artillery and the atomic bomb can destroy and devastate, kill and maim, but cannot occupy, hold and organize the earth on which men live and from which they have their being. Men on the ground must do this; hence, even if those men are transported by air as airborne armies, some sort of an occupying and organizing ground force will be needed. Perhaps it will have to be an army of moles, specially trained in underground fighting, for man may well burrow into the earth, as the Japs have done in this war, to escape insofar as it is possible the terrible effects of the atomic bomb. Certainly it will have to be an army trained in wide dispersion rather than in close concentration and possessing tremendous mobility, an army whose principal transport may be aircraft.
USAF Pilotless Aircraft Branch
B-17 experiments

‘director aircraft’
A B-17 drone in 1946 on a fourteen-hour flight from Hawaii to California under radio control from its mother ship. It was being evaluated for use in sampling atomic clouds during nuclear tests.
Between 1946 and 1958, the United States tested 66 nuclear weapons on or near Bikini and Enewetak atolls, which had previously been evacuated. Populations living elsewhere in the Marshall Islands archipelago were exposed to measurable levels of radioactive fallout from 20 of these tests.
Drones in the Marshall Islands I
Operation Crossroads, July 1946
B-17 "drones," operating by remote control from "mother" planes, will photo the test.

Crossroads at Bikini
Yellow-tail B-17G drones and silver drone directors lined up on Eniwetok for the Able and Baker atomic tests in 1946.
A B-17 drone, controlled by transmitters on the ground, lands at Eniwetok during Operation Crossroads. 

(Manhattan Project Heritage Preservation Association)
‘A number of Army Air Forces officials believe that the drone-plane program undertaken for Crossroads advanced the science of drone-plane operations by a year or more. To be sure, a few war-weary B-17’s had been flown without crews during the latter part of the recent war [Operation Aphrodite], but they and their cargoes of explosives were deliberately crash-landed. Also, a few B-17’s had been landed by remote control; but pilots were aboard, ready to take over control in case of trouble. **Operation Crossroads was the first operation in which take-off, flight, and landing were accomplished with no one aboard.** The feat was an impressive one; many experts had thought it could never be accomplished with planes of this size.’
US Navy Grumman **F6F-5K Hellcat drones**, with their tails painted different colors to indicate different radio guidance frequencies, await the call on Roi Island during Operation Crossroads. (Library of Congress)
On the deck of the carrier *Shangri-La* pilot W. G. Maurer controls a Navy F6F Hellcat drone plane before takeoff. (Manhattan Project Heritage Preservation Association)
This photograph was taken by an automatic camera mounted in a drone plane flying directly above the Zero-point at the instant of detonation, a remarkable achievement in drone control. The cauliflower is funnel-shaped at this stage; within its jagged rim is a condensation cloud nearly a mile in diameter, which evaporated a few seconds later.
‘Drone planes penetrated where no man could have ventured, flew through the mushroom cloud on photographic missions, sampled its poisonous content, televised to remote onlookers their instrument panel readings for flight analysis.’
Project Brass Ring (1949-1951)

‘It appeared that the Air Force would need some method to deliver a 10,000-pound package over a distance of 4,000 nautical miles with an accuracy of at least two miles from the center of the target. It was expected the package would produce a lethal area so great that, were it released in a normal manner, the carrier would not survive the explosion effects. Although not mentioned by name, the “package” was a thermonuclear device – the hydrogen or H-bomb…’

Delmer Trester, ‘Thermonuclear weapon delivery by unmanned B-47: Project Brass Ring’
‘The ultimate objective was to fashion a B-47 carrier with completely automatic operation from take-off to bomb drop... The immediate plan included the director B-47A aircraft as a vital part of the mission. Under direction from the mother aircraft, the missile would take off, climb to altitude and establish cruise speed conditions. While still in friendly territory, the crew aboard the director checked out the missile and committed its instruments to automatically accomplish the remainder of the mission. This was all that was required of the director. The missile, once committed, had no provision for returning to its base... either the B-47 became a true missile and dived toward the target ... or a mechanism triggered the bomb free, as in a normal bombing run.’
The automatic take-off, climb and cruise sequence was initiated remotely from a ground control station. The aircraft azimuth, during take-off, was controlled by an auxiliary control station at the end of the runway. Subsequent maneuvers, descent and landing (including remote release of a drag parachute and application of brakes) were accomplished from the ground control station. The test was generally satisfactory; however, there were several aspects — certain level flight conditions, turn characteristics and the suitability of the aircraft as a “bombing platform” — which required further investigation.
Project Brass Ring was abandoned on 13 March 1951 when the Air Force determined that a manned aircraft could execute the delivery safely (at least for those on board)
Drones in the Marshall Islands II
Operation Sandstone, April-May 1948
Drones at Indian Springs

Air Force mechanics at Indian Springs Air Force Base are shown calibrating radio-control equipment in a Lockheed QF-80 drone (pilotless aircraft) which was used in penetrating a radioactive cloud resulting from an atomic detonation during a recent nuclear test at the Nevada Proving Ground.
‘The QF-80 drones, a pilotless version of the standard Lockheed F-80 jet fighter, will collect **data of vital interest to the United States Air Force regarding radiological hazard in an atomic cloud.**

A robot system of the Sperry Gyroscope Company completely controls via remote means the QF-80. The system enables **"NULLO" flight (no live operator aboard)** by the QF-80 under direction by radio and radar from the beep-box control signals from two ground stations worked by specially trained "beep pilots," or from a nearby jet "director" aircraft.’
Desert Rock
Nevada Proving Grounds
1951-1957
Sixth Army troops from Camp Desert Rock attack towards an atomic blast during a maneuver held by the Army at the AEC's Nevada Proving Grounds in conjunction with the Atomic Energy Commission's Tumbler-Snapper George nuclear test on 1 June 1952. The troops in foxholes 7,000 yards from the blast, charged forward in battle formation as soon as the shock wave swept over their position -- about 20 seconds and advanced several thousand yards towards ground zero.
American lives: Strategic Air Command (1955)
VistaVision

Sweeps you from horizon to horizon

From earth to sky

James Stewart and June Allyson in

Strategic Air Command

You will share the love, the lives, the greatest romance of "Those Sweethearts"

James Stewart and June Allyson
3: The atomic sublime: vulnerable bodies
The first Predator unmanned aerial vehicle is flown at General Atomic's flight test facility at El Mirage, CA in August 1994.
“The technology allows us to project power without vulnerability,” said a senior Defense official. “You don’t have to deploy as many people. And in the modern age you want as little stuff forward as long as you can achieve the effects as if you had lots of people forward.”

Julian Barnes, ‘Military refines a 'constant stare against our enemy’, Los Angeles Times, 2 November 2009
The Survey's investigators, as they proceeded about their study, found an insistent question framing itself in their minds: "What if the target for the bomb had been an American city?" True, the primary mission of the Survey was to ascertain the facts just summarized. But conclusions as to the meaning of those facts, for citizens of the United States, forced themselves almost inescapably on the men who examined thoughtfully the remains of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. These conclusions have a different sort of validity from the measurable and ponderable facts of preceding sections, and therefore they are presented separately. They are not the least important part of this report, however, and they are stated with no less conviction.
Physically untouched by the war, the United States at the moment of victory perceived itself as naked and vulnerable. Sole possessors and users of a devastating instrument of mass destruction, Americans envisioned themselves not as a potential threat to other peoples, but as potential victims.
THE 36-HOUR WAR BEGINS WITH THE ATOMIC BOMBARDMENT OF KEY U.S. CITIES. HERE A SHOWER OF WHITE-HOT ENEMY ROCKETS FALLS ON WASHINGTON, D.C.
The start of another war, said General Arnold, might come with shattering speed. "With present equipment an enemy air power can, without warning, pass over all formerly visualized barriers and can deliver devastating blows at our population centers and our industrial, economic or governmental heart even before surface forces can be deployed."

In the panorama above, looking eastward from 3,000 miles above the Pacific, LIFE's artist has shown the U.S. as it might appear a very few years from now, with a great shower of enemy rockets falling on 13 key U.S. centers. Within a few seconds atomic bombs have exploded over New York, Chicago, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Philadelphia.

Boulder Dam, New Orleans, Denver, Washington, Salt Lake City, Seattle, Kansas City and Knoxville. One bomb (second from left) has been exploded high above the earth by a U.S. defensive rocket for illustration on page 30. In the cities more than 10,000,000 people have been instantly killed by the bombs. The enemy's purpose is not to destroy industry, which is an objective only in long old-fashioned wars like the last one, but to paralyze the U.S. by destroying its people.

The rockets above, white-hot from traveling part of their journey through the atmosphere at three miles a second, have in a little more than an hour soared 1,200 miles up and some 8,000 miles around the earth from equatorial Africa. Then the U.S. has built its rocket-launchers and secretly in the jungle to engage the U.N. Security Council. In their flight most of the way through where the stars are not at noon. The band on the horizon is the earth's...
As it happened, American cities did become targets – for the US Air Force. Under General Curtis Le May the goal was

‘to build a Strategic Air Command that could strike the Soviet Union with planes based in the United States and deliver every nuclear weapon at once. SAC bomber crews constantly trained and prepared for that all-out assault. They staged mock attacks on every city in the United States with a population larger than twenty-five thousand, practicing to drop atomic bombs on urban targets in the middle of the night. San Francisco was bombed more than six hundred times within a month.’
‘These mannikins could have been real people; in fact, they could have been you.’
‘The nuclear sublime’: the God’s eye view

‘The weapon’s devastating power had to be seen to be believed.’

Kyo Maclear, Beclouded visions: Hiroshima-Nagasaki and the art of witness

‘By celebrating the sublime, visual qualities of the mushroom clouds, the glossy images … could be seen as innocuous…’

Scott Kirsch, ‘Watching the bombs go off’

A visual field in which bodies are conspicuously absent
HIROSHIMA
BEFORE

To the atomic bomb-thrower B-29 “Enola Gay” dropping overhead, Hiroshima looked like this—a typically Oriental combination of modern industry, rickety shanties, skirred and spindled truncheons. It had a population of 241,000, which made it Japan’s seventh city. It was a military center, dating from the days of the Russo-Japanese War when the millado made its historic capture its station headquarters. In World War II it was a transport base, a rendezvous for enemy fleet, and a military target, so did the nearby docks and textile mills.

In the heart of the city were ad shores, electrical works, and many bridges spanning the arms of the Ono River on whose delta it stood. There were centuries-old temples and a public garden which was famous for its flowering trees.

HIROSHIMA
AFTER

The scene of reconstruction photos (above) made the day after Hiroshima was burned to the ground, stretching almost three miles from the hilltops (upper left) well into the center of eastern city right). A few buildings still stood, saved by the freakish dynamism of the explosions. Smoke drifted up.

Within the gray circle, in which 300,000 reportedly died, a rail station, generator station, Hiroshima’s telephone company, scores of big buildings, hundreds of small arts were visible. Eleven bridges were destroyed or damaged. Tram tracks and road routes cover the circle’s edge were changed or destroyed. Slightly damaged, too, were the relatively distant areas. The atomic bomb had blown three fifths of Hiroshima to the face of the earth.
‘… the size of the hole that a missile makes in a roof is smaller than that of a single pixel in the resolution to which publicly available satellite images are degraded.…

… the human figure is the thing to which drone vision is calibrated, obviously because it is designed to deliver munitions to people and kill them. However, while the human figure is the convergent point of drone vision, it is what satellite images are designed to mask.’

Eyal Weizman, ‘Violence at the threshold of detectability’
Omar Fast, 5,000 Feet Is Best (2011)
4: Kill chains
‘The bureaucratization of homicide’

Henry T. Nash,
former analyst, Air Targets Division, US Department of Defense

Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists 36 (4) (1980)
‘The nuclear football’
‘Only the President of the United States can authorize the employment of US nuclear weapons... The President may direct the use of nuclear weapons through an execute order via the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to the combatant commanders and, ultimately, to the forces in the field exercising direct control of the weapons.’

US Air Force, Nuclear Command, Control and Communications (May 2015)
Authorization to Use Military Force (AUMF) Operations

(S/NF) Step 1 - “Developing a target” to “Authorization of a target”
- TF 48-4 direct action operations are conducted under execution orders for Operation Copper Dune (AP/Yemen) and Operation Jupiter Garret (EA/Somalia)
- These orders specify delegated authorities, authorized targets and criteria for action
- TF intelligence personnel, with support from IC partners, builds the case for action
- This information, in a condensed format known as a “baseball card (BBC)”, is packaged with the operations information into a “CONOPS” package and staffed up to higher echelons—ultimately to the President
- If proven that the target presents a threat to U.S. interest or personnel, then a 60-day authorization to action is given

(S/NF) Step 2 - “Authorizing” to “Actioning”
- If POTUS approves the CONOP, operations enter a targeting cycle
- Actionable intelligence provides potential targeting windows
- The targeting window suitability is determined by ROE:
  - must be Low CDE, “near certainty” of HVI presence based on two forms of intelligence, no contradictory intelligence
  - TF, GCC, CoM, CoS, HN Gov all must concur or no strike occurs

Source: Multiple interviews conducted with JSOC and TF 48-4 personnel

via The Intercept
(S) New Tactical Collection System Joins the War on Terrorism (repost)
FROM: name redacted
Technical Advisor, Target Reconnaissance and Survey (S316)
Run Date: 03/03/2005

DISTANTFOCUS pod is new system for tactical SIGINT and precision geolocation... first deployed in December (S)

(U//FOUO) What resembles "LITTLE BOY" (one of the atomic bombs dropped on Japan during World War II) and as LITTLE BOY did, represents the dawn of a new era (at least in SIGINT and precision geolocation)?

(S) If you answered a pod mounted on an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) that is currently flying missions in support of the Global War on Terrorism, you would be correct.
In order to secure satellite access over Afghanistan, Predator flights to find bin Laden had been flown from a ground control station at Ramstein Air Base in Germany. But using a Predator to kill bin Laden was less straightforward. After protracted debate, US Government lawyers agreed that a Predator armed with a missile would not violate the 1987 Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty, which eliminated nuclear and conventional missiles with intermediate ranges but which defined missiles as ‘unmanned, self-propelled weapon-delivery vehicles’; the lawyers determined that the Predator was merely a platform and, unlike a cruise missile, had no warhead so that it remained outside the Treaty. But they also insisted that the Status of Forces Agreement with Germany would require Berlin’s consent for the activation of an armed Predator.
The need to bring Berlin onside (and so potentially compromise the secrecy of the project) was one of the main reasons why the ground control station was relocated to the continental United States, connected to the satellite link at Ramstein through a fibre-optic cable under the Atlantic.
5: Little boys and blue skies
‘The world will note that the first atomic bomb was dropped on Hiroshima, a military base. That was because we wished in this first attack to avoid, insofar as possible, the killing of civilians.’
‘There hasn’t been a single collateral death because of the exceptional proficiency, precision of the capabilities we’ve been able to develop…’

John Brennan, June 2011
Daddy squats down, and digs with his hands
Suddenly, his voice weak with exhaustion, he points
I throw the hoe aside
And dig at the spot with my hands
The tiles have grown warm in the sun
And we dig
With a grim and quiet intent
Oh...
Mommy’s bone
Oh...
When I squeezed it
White powder danced in the wind Mommy’s bone
When I put it in my mouth
Tasted lonely
The unbearable sorrow
Began to rise in my father and I
Left alone
Screaming, and picking up bones
And putting them into the candy box
Where they made a rustle
My little brother was right beside my mommy
Little more than a skeleton
His insides, not burnt out completely
Lay exposed...

Sky of Hiroshima
‘Yukiko Hayashi’/Sachiko Kawamura
On 24 October 2012, a U.S. drone strike killed Mamana Bibi (first strike) while she was picking okra. The map shows the location of her family when she was killed.

Ghundi Kala
North Waziristan, Pakistan
ASTRIUM Imagery: 25 March 2013
Data: Amnesty International

"WILL I BE NEXT?"
US DRONE STRIKES IN PAKISTAN

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL
‘I no longer love blue skies. In fact, I now prefer grey skies. The drones do not fly when the skies are grey.’

Zubair Rehman
Rachele Riley
(Sun print from mannequins used in the Annie Test, Nevada, 17 March 1953)

Trevor Paglen
*Untitled* (Predator, Indian Springs, Nevada)